

# A Cosmological Study Based on Variable Fundamental Natural Constants

Bekithemba Sibanda

National University of Science and Technology (NUST), Zimbabwe  
Department of Applied Physics

**Research Question:** Do fundamental natural constants change over cosmic time? If so, what observational consequences does this have for the existing cosmological models?

## Introduction: The Crisis in Modern Cosmology

- ▶ The  $\Lambda$ CDM model faces significant challenges, most notably the **Hubble Tension**: a  $5\sigma$  discrepancy between early and late universe measurements of  $H_0$
- ▶ **Early Universe (Planck CMB)**:  $67.4 \pm 0.5$  km/s/Mpc
- ▶ **Late Universe (SH0ES)**:  $73.04 \pm 1.04$  km/s/Mpc
- ▶ Additional anomalies: Lithium problem,  $\alpha$ -dipole, planetary orbit anomalies
- ▶ **Core hypothesis**: Fundamental constants ( $\alpha$ ,  $G$ ,  $c$ ) may vary over cosmic time and space

## The Hubble Tension

Source	$H_0$ (km/s/Mpc)
Planck CMB (Early Universe)	$67.4 \pm 0.5$
SH0ES (Late Universe)	$73.04 \pm 1.04$

**Difference:** 5.6 km/s/Mpc

**Statistical Significance:**  $> 5\sigma$

(Probability of random chance:  $< 1$  in 3.5 million)

- ▶ **Early Universe measurements** (CMB, ACT, DES, WMAP9) prefer lower  $H_0$
- ▶ **Late Universe measurements** (SH0ES, H0LiCOW) prefer higher  $H_0$
- ▶ This  $> 5\sigma$  discrepancy cannot be explained by known systematics

## Theoretical Innovation

### Generalized FLRW Metric:

$$ds^2 = a_t^2(r)c^2 dt^2 - a_s^2(t) \left[ \frac{dr^2}{1 - k(r/d_H)^2} + r^2 d\Omega^2 \right]$$

- ▶  $a_s(t)$ : Standard spatial scale factor (cosmic expansion)
- ▶  $a_t(r)$ : Temporal scale factor introducing **Spatial Time-Flow Anisotropy**
- ▶ *Key departure*: Cosmic time flow depends on spatial position
- ▶ Provides geometric mechanism for apparent variation of constants

### Fundamental Constants as Dynamical Fields:

- ▶ Treat  $\alpha$ ,  $G$ ,  $c$ ,  $\hbar$  as dynamical fields
- ▶  $G = G(t, r)$ ,  $c = c(t, r)$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha(t, r)$ ,  $\hbar = \hbar(t, r)$

### Invariant Planck Scale Hypothesis:

$$\ell_P = \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}} = \text{constant}$$

Leads to coupling equation:

$$\frac{\Delta G}{G} + \frac{\Delta \hbar}{\hbar} - 3\frac{\Delta c}{c} = 0$$

*Constants cannot vary independently—they must vary in a coordinated manner.*

## Methodology

### Research Workflow:

1. **Theory**: Develop model from modified FLRW metric
2. **Equations**: Derive modified Friedmann equations
3. **Simulation**: Implement in Python/Mathematica
4. **Data**: Compare with Planck, SH0ES, quasar spectra
5. **Validation**: Statistical analysis ( $\chi^2$ , likelihood)
6. **Predictions**: Generate testable forecasts

### Modified Friedmann Equation:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}_s}{a_s}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G(t)}{3c(t)^2}\rho + (\text{terms from varying constants})$$

### Parameterization of Variation:

- ▶ **Temporal**:  $G(t) = G_0 \left(\frac{a_s(t)}{a_s(t_0)}\right)^{\beta_G}$  ( $\beta_G$  to be constrained)
- ▶ **Spatial**:  $G(r) = G_0 \left(1 + \gamma_G \frac{r}{d_H}\right)$  ( $\gamma_G$  to be constrained)
- ▶ Similar parameterizations for  $\alpha(t, r)$  and  $c(t, r)$

## Expected Results & Predictions

Prediction	$\Lambda$ CDM	Our Model
$H_0$ (km/s/Mpc)	Discrepancy	Consistent
Primordial ${}^7\text{Li}$	Overpredicted	Resolved
$\alpha$ -dipole	Anomaly	Explained
Modified CMB spectrum	—	Predicted
Spatial variation in constants	—	Predicted