Tracking Meteoroid Impacts into Earth's Atmosphere

AfAS African Astronomical Society
2026 African Astronomical Society Conference
Kasane, Botswana

Simon Anghel

LTE, Observatoire de Paris, Universite PSL, Sorbonne Universite, Universite de Lille, LNE, CNRS, 61 Avenue de l'Observatoire, Paris, 75014, France. *Simon.Anghel@obspm.fr*

METHODOLOGY





Most of the bolides **did not have their total radiated energy** estimated, hence, this was obtained based on the published light curve via **digitization** [5] **and integration**.



Composite image of the **2023 CX1 asteroid** recorded from Paris region, on phone camera. The reconstruction of the trajectory (upper right) along with energy deposition profile, and the light curve (lower right) is based on **multiple video recordings across France and the UK**. The resulted meteorites landed in France, near **Saint-Pierre-le-Viger (SPLV)**.

Bolide Name	Date (yyyy/mm/dd)	V_∞ (km/s)	m_∞ (kg)	M_{max}	Optical energy (T TNT)	Source energy [b] (T TNT)	Reference
Hamburg	2018/01/17	15.83 ± 0.05	142 (60 – 225)	-16.3	0.193 [a]	4.27 (1.79 – 6.78)	1, 2
Ejby	2016/02/06	14.52 ± 0.10	185 (110 – 350)	-14.0	0.156 [a]	4.66 (2.73 – 8.94)	3, 4
Creston	2015/10/24	16.00 ± 0.26	55 (10 – 100)	-12.0	0.040 [a]	1.68 (0.30 – 3.16)	5
Žďár nad Sázavou	2014/12/09	21.89 ± 0.02	150 (130 – 170)	-15.3	0.335	8.59 (7.43 – 9.75)	6
Novato	2012/10/18	13.67 ± 0.12	80 (45 – 115)	-13.8	0.215	1.79 (0.99 – 2.61)	7
Križevci	2011/02/04	18.21 ± 0.07	50 (25 – 100)	-13.7	0.064 [a]	1.98 (0.98 – 3.99)	8
Grimsby	2009/09/26	20.91 ± 0.19	30 (20 – 50)	-14.8	0.082 [a]	1.57 (1.03 – 2.66)	9
Jesenice	2009/04/09	13.78 ± 0.25	170 (90 – 250)	-15.0	0.158 [a]	3.86 (1.97 – 5.88)	10, 11, 12
Bunburra Rockhole	2007/07/20	13.37 ± 0.01	30 (21 – 38)	-9.6	0.004 [a]	0.64 (0.44 – 0.82)	13, 14, 15
EN130801	2001/08/13	59.89 ± 0.13	0.600	-13.3	0.006	0.257	16
EN151101A	2001/11/15	71.30 ± 0.11	0.800	-14.9	0.029	0.486	16
EN030804	2004/08/03	60.80 ± 0.20	0.370	-12.5	0.005	0.163	16
Innisfree	1977/02/06	14.70 ± 0.04	36 (20 – 44)	-12.1	0.040	0.93 (0.51 – 1.14)	17, 18
Lost City	1970/01/04	14.14 ± 0.01	163 (158 – 168)	-12.4	0.065 [a]	3.90 (3.78 – 4.02)	18, 19

References: [1] Brown et al. (2019); [2] Heck et al. (2020); [3] Spurný et al. (2017); [4] Haack et al. (2019); [5] Jenniskens et al. (2019); [6] Spurný et al. (2020); [7] Jenniskens et al. (2014); [8] Borovička et al. (2015a); [9] Brown et al. (2011); [10] Spurný et al. (2010); [11] Bischoff et al. (2011); [12] Ott et al. (2010); [13] Sansom et al. (2015); [14] Spurný et al. (2012); [15] Welten et al. (2012); [16] Brown et al. (2007); [17] Halliday et al. (1981); [18] Ceplecha & Revelle (2005); [19] Ceplecha (1996).

RESULTS & IMPLICATIONS

To derive the empirical relation, a best fit was obtained from the **source energy** vs the **optical energy**. When fitting the full set of objects from Table 1 as source energy vs optical energy, we obtain the best fit relation:

$$log(E) = 0.7165 \cdot log(Eo) + (0.5932 \pm 0.5020) \quad (1)$$

where E represents the impact energy.

Although the radiated light and mass would ideally be modelled as a function of velocity, the obtained relation (Eq. **1**) shows a **good correlation between the object's kinetic energy** at entry and its **capability of radiating light during deceleration**, regardless of the object's fragmentation and ablation profile.



The source energy vs radiated energy correspondence for the well-known list of bolides (grey data). The thick line represents a luminous efficiency of 100%. The relation presented by [6]. The ***red line represents the best fit line thorough the gray data (Anghel+21)****. The* ***new data*** *represents bolides with independent energy estimations.*

CONCLUSIONS

- The **bolide radiation** is the most **realiable method** of estimating the **source energy** of ton TNT scale impacts
- A **more accurate relation** of estimating the source energy was obtained, **and tested with new data**
- The relation **holds regardless of energy** deposition **profile**
- the method can be used to **calibrate empirically other instrumental methods** of estimating the source energy of meteoroids

References:
[1] Colas F. et al. (2020) *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 644:A53. [2] Anghel S. et al. (2021a) *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 508:5716. [3] Edwards W. N. et al. (2006) *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics* 68:1136. [4] Ens T. A. et al. (2012) *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics* 80:208. [5] Anghel S. Birlan M. (2017) *Romanian Astronomical Journal, 27*:3 [6] Brown P. G. et al. (2002) *Nature* 420:294, [7] Rault J. L. and Colas F. (2019) *arXiv*: 1911:04290. [8] Stuhlmann R. et al. (2005) *Advances in Space Research* 36:975. [9] Anghel S. et al. (2021b*) in LPI Contributions Vol. 84*, Abstract #6027. [10] Vida D. et al. (2021) *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 506:5046.